## **Tutor Feedback from Project Report**

**Knowledge**: A good product description, with some interesting design details. A good selection of requirements and a well thought out plan: merit

**Application of Knowledge**: A surprising omission of the gherkin version of the requirements - a possible missed opportunity? Useful estimates but no indication on how they were calculated? Pass

**Criticality**: The different sprint diagrams/ plans seem to be inconsistent - can you check them? Sprints seem to be generally SMART. It would have been good to have seen more explanation around WHY scrum was chosen. Pass

**Structure and Presentation**: Generally well presented, with good structure but the presence of a few typos and errors in referencing indicate a lack of a final proofread, perhaps? Merit.